Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 NEW DE 08662 261947Z

61

ACTION NEA-12

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ADP-00 MC-02 ACDA-19 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01

PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-15 IO-13 OMB-01 RSR-01 AID-20 /162 W 064770

R 261855Z JUL 73

FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5788

INFO AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMCONSUL BOMBAY

AMCONSUL CALCUTTA

AMCONSUL MADRAS

USINT BAGHDAD BY POUCH

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE NEW DELHI 8662

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR, IN, IR, PK

SUBJECT: INDIAN FOREIGN MINISTER COMMENTS ON IRANIAN ARMS

BUILD-UP

BEGIN SUMMARY: FOREIGN MINISTER SWARAN SINGH RESPONDED TO A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ON THE IRANIAN ARMS BUILD-UP AND THE POSSIBILITY OF IRANIAN ASSISTANCE TO PAKISTAN DURING THE LOK SABHA DEBATE JULY 26. HE APPEARED ANXIOUS TO DAMPEN APPREHENSIONS REGARDING IRAN'S INTENTIONS: END SUMMARY

1. ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THERE HAD BEEN PRESS REPORTS ON JUNE 30 THAT THE SHAH HAD SAID IRAN WOULD HELP PAKISTAN IF INDIA ATTACKS, SINGH SAID INDIA HAD NOT ATTACKED PAKISTAN IN 1965 OR IN 1971 AND, IN RESPONSE TO ANOTHER QUESTION, SAID IRAN HAD NEVER HELD IN 1965 OR 1971 THAT PAKISTAN HAD BEEN ATTACKED. HE SAID HE HAD BEEN ASSURED DURING HIS RECENT VISIT TO IRAN THAT IRAN WOULD USE ITS INFLUENCE WITH PAKISTAN TO ENCOURAGE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NEW DE 08662 261947Z

A PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF OUTSTANDING PROBLEMS IN THE SUB-

CONTINENT.

- 2. IN REPLY TO FURTHER QUESTIONS, SINGH SAID THE SHAH HAD TAKEN THE POSITION IN PUBLIC STATEMENTS AND PRESS INTERVIEWS THAT ARMS IN IRAN'S POSSESSION WERE NOT LIKELY TO BE AVAILABLE TO PAKISTAN UNLESS PAKISTAN WAS ATTACKED OR THE INTEGRITY OF PAKISTAN WAS ENDANGERED. HE INTERPRETED THE REFERENCE TO DANGER TO THE INTEGRITY OF IRAN TO MEAN THAT IF PROBLEMS IN BALUCHISTAN OR OTHER PROVINCES TOOK A SHAPE WHICH THREATENED IN A MAJOR WAY THE INTEGIRTY OF PAKISTAN, THEN IRAN'S HELP MIGHT BE AVAILABLE TO COUNTER THE SITUATION. IF IRAN GAVE SUCH ASSISTANCE, THAT WOULD BE A MATTER BETWEEN THE TWO COUNTRIES INVOLVED. AS FOR INDIA, IT DID NOT INTERFERE IN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS OF OTHER COUNTRIES.
- 3. SWARAN SINGH SAID HE COULD NOT SPECULATE ON WHAT HELP PAKISTAN MIGHT GET FROM IRAN IN THE EVENT OF ANOTHER INDO-PAKISTAN CONFLICT. MINISTER OF STATE FOR EXTERNAL AFFAIRS SURENDRAPAL SINGH SAID THAT ACCORDING TO INDIA'S INFORMATION AND PRESS REPORTS FROM PAKISTAN, TRANSFER OF SOME ARMS AND EQUIPMENT FROM IRAN TO PAKISTAN HAD TAKEN PLACE DURING EARLIER CONFLICTS; THE MATTER WAS BEING TAKEN UP IN APPROPRIATE QUARTERS. ON THE QUESTIO OF WHETHER IRAN WAS TRAINING PALISTANIS IN THE USE OF SOPHISTICATED MILITARY EQUIPMENT, GOVERNMENT SPOKESMAN SAID MERELY THAT ASSISTANCE IN MILITARY TRAINING BY ONE COUNTRY TO ANOTHER WOULD NOT BE UNUSUAL.
- 4. COMMENTING ON A REPORTED REMARK BY IRAQ'S FOREIGN MINISTER THAT IRAN'S MASSIVE BUILD-UP COULD BE A THREAT TO PEACE AND SECURITY IN THE REGION, SWARAN SINGH SAID THAT HIS GOVERNMENT WAS IN TOUCH WITH IRAQ AND THAT HE HAD PAID A VISIT TO IRAQ SOME TIME AGO. THERE WERE SEVERAL UNRESOLVED PROBLEMS BETWEEN IRAQ AND IRAN, AND HE COULD UNDERSTAND IRAQ'S REACTION TO IRAN'S ARMS BUILD-UP.
- 5. AS TO THE GOVERNMENT'S ATTITUDE TOWARD THE US ON THE SUPPLY OF ARMS TO IRAN, THE FOREIGN MINISTER SAID THAT IT APPEARED THE US WOULD BE A MAJORE SOURCE IRANIAN ARMS. THE US WAS ASKING A STIFF PRICE FOR THESE ARMS AND IRAN COULD AFFORD TO PAY. BRITAIN WAS ALSO SELLING ARMS TO IRAN. THE FACT WAS THAT A NUMBER LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 NEW DE 08662 261947Z

OF GOVERNMENTS WERE PREPARED TO SELL ADVANCED ARMS TO COUNTRIES THAT COULD PAY FOR THEM.

6. SWARAN SIGGH MAINTAINED THAT THERE WAS NO CONTRADICTION BETWEEN DEFENSE MINISTER RAM'S EXPRESSION OF APPREHENSION OVER IRAN'S ARMS BUILD-UP AND SIGH'S COMMENTS ON HIS RECENT VISIT TO IRAN. HE HAD MADE SEVERAL STATEMENTS THAT LARGE-SCALE ACQUISITION OF ARMS BY COUNTRIES IN THE REGION COULD POSE A PROBLEM

FOR INDIA. IT WAS FOR THIS REASON THAT INDIA HAD DECIDED TO HAVE A DIALOGUE WITH IRAN TO SEE WHETHER IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO ASCERTAIN THAT THESE APPREHENSIONS MIGHT NOT MATERIALIZE.

MOYNIHAN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: MILITARY ASSISTANCE, MILITARY TRAINING, ARMS SHIPMENTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 26 JUL 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: elyme
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NFWDF08662

Document Number: 1973NEWDE08662 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a From: NEW DELHI Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973079/aaaaagqd.tel Line Count: 120 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ACTION NEA

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED

Review Authority: elyme Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 19 DEC 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <19-Dec-2001 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <09-Jan-2002 by elyme>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: INDIAN FOREIGN MINISTER COMMENTS ON IRANIAN ARMS BUILD-UP

TAGS: PFOR, PARM, IN, IR, PK

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005